Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings 00 (2016) 1–4
Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings

Event-by-event picture for the medium-induced jet evolution

Miguel A. Escobedo[a,b], Edmond Iancu[a]

[a] *Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, CEA, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
[b] *Department of Physics, P.O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland*

arXiv:1612.05485v1 [hep-ph] 16 Dec 2016

Abstract

We discuss the evolution of an energetic jet which propagates through a dense quark-gluon plasma and radiates gluons due to its interactions with the medium. Within perturbative QCD, this evolution can be described as a stochastic branching process, that we have managed to solve exactly. We present exact, analytic, results for the gluon spectrum (the average gluon distribution) and for the higher n-point functions, which describe correlations and fluctuations. Using these results, we construct the event-by-event picture of the gluon distribution produced via medium-induced gluon branching. In contrast to what happens in a usual QCD cascade in vacuum, the medium-induced branchings are quasi-democratic, with offspring gluons carrying sizable fractions of the energy of their parent parton. We find large fluctuations in the energy loss and in the multiplicity of soft gluons. The multiplicity distribution is predicted to exhibit KNO (Koba-Nielsen-Olesen) scaling. These predictions can be tested in Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC, via event-by-event measurements of the di-jet asymmetry.

Based on [1, 2].

Keywords:

1. Introduction

One of the observables in which the formation of a collective medium in heavy-ion collisions manifests in a very clear way is the dijet asymmetry, the energy difference between two approximately back-to-back jets [3, 4]. The usual interpretation of this observation is the following:

- The two energetic jets are initially created in a hard process, due to momentum conservation the two jets will have back-to-back directions and approximately the same energy.
- For simplicity we consider central collisions in which the interaction plane has rotational symmetry. The point of the collision region in which the hard process takes place is not always the center, a deviation from this point will have as a consequence that the effective size of the medium seen by each jet will be different.
- The two jets will lose energy inside of the medium, however the amount of energy loss will depend on the size of the medium that they traverse.

In summary, the fact that the formation of the two jets does not happen at the center of the fireball translates in an asymmetry in the effective length of the medium seen by each jet that at the same time translates into an asymmetry in the energy loss.

However, this might not be the whole story. In the previous discussion we were assuming that the energy loss is always the same at fixed medium size, in other words, we were neglecting fluctuations. The question is then, how big are these fluctuations? This is one of the main problems we are going to address in this proceedings and the answer we are going to find is that the typical deviation in the energy loss is of the order of the

Email address: miguel.a.escobedo-espinosa@jyu.fi (Miguel A. Escobedo)

average value and therefore fluctuations can not be neglected. We are going to arrive to this conclusion by performing an analytical computation based on the results obtained in [5, 6]. A similar result was obtained recently by a Monte Carlo computation in [7].

Another issue we want to discuss in this proceedings is what are the event-by-event properties of gluons produced by the energy loss mechanism.

2. Jet quenching formalism

We are going to perform the computation using the BDMPS-Z theory [8, 9]. In this formalism all the information that we need from the medium is encoded in its length L and a parameter called $\hat{q}$ that controls the amount of jet broadening induced by the medium.

There are two time-scales that have a very important role in this problem. The first one we are going to discuss is the formation time. This is given by the uncertainty relation $\tau_f \sim \frac{2\omega}{k_\perp^2}$ where ω is the energy of the gluon that is being emitted. In a medium $k_\perp \sim \sqrt{\hat{q}\tau_f}$, this gives a self consistent equation that results in $\tau_f \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\omega}{\hat{q}}}$.

Another important time-scale is the branching time. In the BDMPS-Z theory the probability to emit a gluon during a small time Δt is

$$P(\omega, \Delta t) \propto \frac{N_c \alpha_s}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}}{\omega}} \Delta t \,, \tag{1}$$

the branching time τ_{br} is the period after which we are almost sure that a gluon with a given energy will be emitted, looking at the previous equation we can see that $\tau_{br}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{N_c \alpha_s} \tau_f(\omega)$, this shows that in perturbation theory the formation time is much smaller than the branching time and therefore, at first approximation, the branching process can be thought as an almost classical process in which gluons are formed instantaneously.

The branching time allows to divide the gluons in two different types:

- Soft gluons have an energy such that $\tau_{br} \ll L$ therefore they will be emitted abundantly.
- The harder gluons which are likely to be emitted are those with $\tau_{br} \sim L$, this implies that they will have an energy of order $\omega_{br} \sim \alpha_s^2 \hat{q} L^2$. Their emission by the leading particle will dominate the energy loss. However, this gluons with energy ω_{br} will subsequently branch and at the end of the day what will be found is a lot of soft gluons emitted at large angles.

The equations and the consequences of the multiple branching obtained with the previous assumptions were discussed in [5, 6], there it was observed the importance of the so-called democratic branching, the process in which a parton branches in a way such that the resulting partons have a similar energy. This will be a rare event for the leading particle because their energy is much bigger than ω_{br}, however for the gluons emitted by the leading particle, that will typically have an energy of the order of ω_{br} or smaller, this will be a very common process and a very efficient way to transfer energy into low energy gluons emitted at large angles.

3. The gluon spectrum and the average energy loss

The main focus of this section is going to be the gluon spectrum that we define as

$$D(x,t) = x\langle \sum_i \delta(x_i - x) \rangle \,, \tag{2}$$

where x is the energy fraction carried by the gluon. This quantity evolves with time following the equation [10]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} D(x,\tau) = \int \mathrm{d}z \, \mathcal{K}(z) \left[\sqrt{\frac{z}{x}} D\left(\frac{x}{z}, \tau\right) - \frac{z}{\sqrt{x}} D(x,\tau) \right] , \tag{3}$$

where $\tau = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}}{E}} t = \frac{t}{\tau_{br}(E)}$. E in this case is the energy of the leading particle. The case interesting for jet quenching at LHC is therefore the one in which $\tau \ll 1$, however the case $\tau \sim 1$ is also interesting in order to understand how jets are absorbed by the medium.

The kernel $\mathcal{K}(z)$ in eq. (3) has the form

$$\mathcal{K}(z) = \frac{[1 - z(1-z)]^{5/2}}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}} \,, \tag{4}$$

however eq. (3) has not been analytically solved so far with this kernel. In [6] it was solved with the approximate kernel $\mathcal{K}_0(z) = \frac{1}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}}$ and the initial condition $D(x,0) = \delta(1-x)$

$$D(x,\tau) = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{x}(1-x)^{3/2}} \exp\{-\frac{\pi \tau^2}{1-x}\} \,. \tag{5}$$

The previous formula implies that the energy decreases with time, in fact

$$\langle X(\tau) \rangle = \int_0^1 dx D(x,\tau) = e^{-\pi \tau^2} \,. \tag{6}$$

This leaves the question of where this missing energy goes. Eq. (3) is only valid for particles with a momentum much bigger than that of the particles in the

medium. This can be quantified by an infrared cut-off in momentum fraction x_0, remarkably $D(x,\tau)$ can be accurately computed setting $x_0 = 0$. The energy that is not captured inside of $D(x,\tau)$ goes to degrees of freedom with energy fraction smaller than x_0, in other words, to the medium. In summary, the energy loss into the medium is

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau) = E\left(1 - e^{-\pi\tau^2}\right). \tag{7}$$

4. The 2-point function and the fluctuations of the energy loss

In order to quantify the importance of the energy loss fluctuations we will compute the variance (more details on the computation are given in [1])

$$\sigma^2_{\mathcal{E}} = E^2(\langle X^2\rangle - \langle X\rangle^2). \tag{8}$$

We already computed the value of $\langle X(\tau)\rangle$. In order to compute $\langle X^2(\tau)\rangle$ apart from $D(x,\tau)$ we also need the 2-point function defined as

$$D^{(2)}(x,x',t) = xx'\langle\sum_{i\neq j}\delta(x_i - x)\delta(x_j - x')\rangle, \tag{9}$$

which gives information about the pairs of partons with different energy found inside the jet. Knowing this $\langle X^2\rangle$ is determined as

$$\langle X^2(t)\rangle = \int_0^1 dx x D(x,t) + \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dx' D^{(2)}(x,x',t). \tag{10}$$

$D^{(2)}$ fulfills an evolution equation similar to the one in eq. (3) , this has the solution

$$D^{(2)}(x,x',\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{xx'(1-x-x')}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi\tau^2}{1-x-x'}} - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{4\pi\tau^2}{1-x-x'}}\right]. \tag{11}$$

At small times this equation has a peak corresponding to pairs whose sum of energy correspond approximately to the original energy of the leading particle $x + x' \sim 1$. However this peak will disappear quite quickly as time passes. There is another peak that indicates that there will be a large number of pairs formed by soft particles (both x and x' much smaller than 1).

With this result we can already compute $\sigma^2_{\mathcal{E}}$. In the limit $\tau \ll 1$, which is the one interesting for LHC physics

$$\sigma^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\tau) = E^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\pi^2\tau^4 - \frac{11}{15}\pi^3\tau^6\right) + O(E^2\tau^8), \tag{12}$$

this result means that the typical deviation will go like $E\tau^2 \sim \omega_{br}$. This means that both the average and the typical deviation are of the same order of magnitude and that both are of the size of ω_{br}.

Let us now discuss the phenomenological consequences of this result. We focus in back-to-back pairs from which we assume that initially both of them have an energy E but they will typically see different path length. On top of that we will have the fluctuations of the energy loss mechanism itself that we have just computed, in this situation

$$\langle E_1 - E_2\rangle^2 = (N_c\alpha_s\hat{q})^2(\langle L_1^2\rangle - \langle L_2^2\rangle)^2, \tag{13}$$

where the symbol $\langle\cdot\rangle$ applied on L_x means average over the geometry of the fireball in the different events. This equation tells us that the observation of a $\langle E_1 - E_2\rangle^2$ different from 0 indicates an asymmetry in the path length seen by the jets. However, what is observed experimentally is $\langle|E_1 - E_2|\rangle$ rather than $\langle E_1 - E_2\rangle$. Therefore the following quantity might give a more precise picture of what is actually observed in experiments

$$\sigma^2_{E_1-E_2} = \langle(E_1 - E_2)^2\rangle - \langle E_1 - E_2\rangle^2 = (N_c\alpha_s\hat{q})^2\left[\frac{1}{3}(\langle L_1^4\rangle + \langle L_2^4\rangle) + \sigma^2_{L_1^2} + \sigma^2_{L_2^2}\right], \tag{14}$$

looking at this equation we see that indeed the asymmetry on the path length contributes but we also see that even in the case $L_1 = L_2$ there will be a non-zero contribution. We also see, looking at eq. (14), that both effects are of the same order of magnitude.

5. The n-point functions and KNO scaling

In order to compute the average energy loss $\langle\mathcal{E}\rangle$ and the average number of particles inside the jet $\langle N\rangle$ we need to know the gluon spectrum D. If we want to compute $\langle\mathcal{E}^2\rangle$ and $\langle N^2\rangle$ we also need to know $D^{(2)}$. If we want to have more detailed information on the energy loss and the distribution of particles we need to compute higher order n-point functions $D^{(n)}$. They fulfill an evolution equation similar to the one of $D^{(2)}$, they can be analytically solved using the same approximations [2]

$$D^{(n)}(x_1,\cdots,x_n|\tau) = \frac{(n!)^2}{2^{n-1}n}\frac{(1-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}}{\sqrt{x_1\cdots x_n}} h_n\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}}\right), \tag{15}$$

where

$$h_n(l) = \int_0^l dl_{n-1}\cdots\int_0^{l_2} dl_1 (nl - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i)\mathrm{e}^{-\pi(nl-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} l_j)^2}. \tag{16}$$

As was already mentioned, the interesting limit for LHC is $\tau \ll 1$. If we are also in the limit $x_0 \ll \tau^2$ (very small resolution scale) the number of particles will be completely dominated by soft gluons and we can compute the leading order contribution to $\langle N \rangle$ analytically. In the more restrictive case in which $x_0 \ll \tau^2$ and also $\pi n^2 \tau^2 \ll 1$ we can, using eq. (15), do the same for $\langle N^n \rangle$. All the moments of the number of particles will diverge as $x_0 \to 0$, however the ratio

$$C_p = \frac{\langle N^p \rangle}{\langle N \rangle^p} = \frac{(p+1)!}{2^p}, \tag{17}$$

will be a constant that does only depend of p. This property is called KNO scaling [11] and appears in several processes in heavy-ion as well as in collider physics. In fact, eq. (17) corresponds to a negative binomial distribution with parameter $k = 2$. This distribution gives the probability of having n successful attempts in a Bernoulli trial before having k failures, in this case 2. Similar properties were also found in the vacuum [12], there it was seen that KNO scaling is also fulfilled and that the distribution of emitted gluons was approximately described by a negative binomial distribution but this time with $k = 3$. In conclusion we can see that the distribution of gluons produced by a jet, either in a medium or in the vacuum, can be approximately described by a negative binomial distribution and therefore they approximately fulfill KNO scaling. The difference is that in a medium fluctuations and correlations are much more important.

6. Conclusions

In this proceedings we have reviewed the computation of the fluctuations of the energy loss. We have seen that they large, of the order of the average value. This means that they can not be neglected when interpreting experimental results. This is particularly important for the dijet asymmetry, our result shows that such an asymmetry can be generated even if the medium path length that each jet traverses is the same. This is in contradiction with the usual picture.

We have also shown that the gluons emitted during the process in which the jet loses energy fulfill KNO scaling and can be approximately described by a negative binomial distribution. Remarkably this is similar to what is found in the vacuum where the physics is very different. Comparing the two cases we see that in the medium correlations and fluctuations are much bigger.

Acknowledgments

The work of M.A.E. has been supported, during the preparation of the talk and the proceedings, in part by the European Research Council under the Advanced Investigator Grant ERC-AD-267258 and in part by the Academy of Finland, project 303756.

References

[1] M. A. Escobedo, E. Iancu, Event-by-event fluctuations in the medium-induced jet evolution, JHEP 05 (2016) 008. arXiv:1601.03629, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2016)008.

[2] M. A. Escobedo, E. Iancu, Multi-particle correlations and KNO scaling in the medium-induced jet evolutionarXiv:1609.06104.

[3] G. Aad, et al., Observation of a Centrality-Dependent Dijet Asymmetry in Lead-Lead Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.77$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 252303. arXiv:1011.6182, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252303.

[4] V. Khachatryan, et al., Correlations between jets and charged particles in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, JHEP 02 (2016) 156. arXiv:1601.00079, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)156.

[5] J.-P. Blaizot, F. Dominguez, E. Iancu, Y. Mehtar-Tani, Probabilistic picture for medium-induced jet evolution, JHEP 06 (2014) 075. arXiv:1311.5823, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2014)075.

[6] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, Y. Mehtar-Tani, Medium-induced QCD cascade: democratic branching and wave turbulence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 052001. arXiv:1301.6102, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.052001.

[7] J. G. Milhano, K. C. Zapp, Origins of the di-jet asymmetry in heavy ion collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (5) (2016) 288. arXiv:1512.08107, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4130-9.

[8] R. Baier, Y. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, S. Peigne, D. Schiff, Radiative energy loss of high-energy quarks and gluons in a finite volume quark - gluon plasma, Nucl. Phys. B483 (1997) 291320. arXiv:hep-ph/9607355, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00553-6.

[9] B. G. Zakharov, Fully quantum treatment of the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect in QED and QCD, JETP Lett. 63 (1996) 952957. arXiv:hep-ph/9607440, doi:10.1134/1.567126.

[10] R. Baier, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, D. T. Son, 'Bottom up' thermalization in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B502 (2001) 5158. arXiv:hep-ph/0009237, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00191-5.

[11] Z. Koba, H. B. Nielsen, P. Olesen, Scaling of multiplicity distributions in high-energy hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. B40 (1972) 317334. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(72)90551-2.

[12] Y. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze, A. H. Mueller, S. I. Troian, Basics of perturbative QCD, 1991.

[13] S. Chatrchyan, et al., Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C84 (2011) 024906. arXiv:1102.1957, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024906.

[14] V. Khachatryan, et al., Measurement of transverse momentum relative to dijet systems in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, JHEP 01 (2016) 006. arXiv:1509.09029, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2016)006.